## rd World

ccounts and properties

3 of the Third World redebt crisis, not the IMF. · suffering peasants who any, benefits from those ins. For decades when he majority in the Third itening their belts, their itoon of corrupt bureauing theirs with fat bank in the name of national ; the elites who disdain a of living to pay for their d all too often shift the ce on to the peasants. utus (worth over \$8 bil-'s (worth over \$5 billion). rth over \$4 billion), the ver \$3 billion) and other all the billions of wealth hird World and until the region is held accountes, default is out of the . We are dead serious looted wealth back to the e return of that booty he suffering of the peas-

rd World countries.

)F. George B.N. Ayittey
Bloomsburg University

e. The evening had noth-icking off'' the Arkansas h had been very effec-Jan. 3 when the Post Ofst postage stamp of 1986 Arkansas statehood in the Rock.

Glenn Campbell and 't participate in our Fanre. Campbell had a conprevious commitment, the state on network TV of Liberty opening cerered said he would do to

## Socialist Old and NewWorry They Can't Beat Kohl

BONN—Summertime is slumber time in Bonn. The university town that doubles as the capital empties out, and it is a sellers' market for news. As the heavyweights wander off to the Alps or the beaches, junior ministers and political groupies prepare for Bonn's major summertime festival: the August headline-hogging contest.

Take the case of Johannes Rau, the man the Social Democrats have chosen as their chancellor candidate in January's election. While he was off on vacation.

## Europe

By Josef Joffe

resting for the uphill battle against Chancellor Kohl, the public was treated to a bizarre case of attempted politicide. The target was Mr. Rau, but the arrows came from totally unexpected quarters, namely his own Socialist brethren.

One fine August morning Mr. Rau woke up to headlines that proclaimed him unfit for the chancellorship. "Is Johannes Rau the appropriate chancellor candidate?" a semi-prominent comrade wanted to know. Not really, was the solicitous answer. "I can't quite imagine him as a political leader in Bonn. He would only agonize and feel lonely."

Mr. Rau had carried North Rhine-Westphalia, the Federal Republic's most populous state, in a landslide last year. But scoring big in the provinces marked Mr. Rau not as a heavy but as likely victim of the Peter Principle (everybody gets promoted to the level of his own incompetence). Mr. Rau was a local hero "whose talents thrive in a lesser arena." A caring family man and devout Christian, the would-be SPD chancellor lacked the fighter's instincts for the top job in Bonn. And thus, come next January, he would "fall with almost inexorable necessity."

The author of those killing-with-kind-

ness lines is Klaus Boelling, a self-proclaimed confidante of Helmut Schmidt who had served as the former Social Democratic chancellor's spokesman. Today Mr. Boelling dabbles as a writer and gadfly; in fact, his unsolicited advice was lifted from a book that will come out in September.

Normally, such a sneak preview would have been seen for what it is: a skillful sales pitch, carefully timed for the summer doldrums when even a whiff of scandal is bound to make the headlines. But there is more to the story than an uncomradely publicity plug.

To begin with, there are the telling sounds of silence. One might have thought that an attack on the candidate would have been treated like an attack on the party. Unlike Mr. Kohl's conservatives, who look back at a long history of intramural leader-bashing, the Social Democrats have traditionally put solidarity uber alles.

Yet today nobody has proposed to read Mr. Boelling out of the party. Damnation was faint, almost perfunctory. Perhaps that was nothing but good campaign strategy—as if the order had come down from headquarters: Let's not give comfort to our enemies by fighting in public.

On the other hand, Mr. Boelling has merely splashed across the front pages what many of his comrades—and especially the left wing of the SPD—harbor in private: the gravest doubts about the man they have chosen to lead them against Chancellor Kohl. Mr. Rau may appeal to the center, but precisely for that reason he is the wrong standard-bearer for an SPD that has been drifting steadily leftward.

Mr. Rau is the "old" SPD: a bit statist, but mindful of the market; fitfully critical of the U.S., but not anti-American; eager for detente, but with a keen appreciation of the military balance. He does not believe in exorcism when it comes to nuclear power and nuclear missiles. Mr. Rau, in short, is a Schmidt-like Social Democrat—

but without the former chancellor's hard edges. In fact, his friends describe him as a kind of Rhenish Ronald Reagan, a personable and optimistic fellow with a genuine sense of humor.

Yet this "old" SPD is either dead or in hibernation. The "new" SPD is led by septuagenarian Willy Brandt who, in his dotage, has developed strong sympathies for the red-and-green visions of his youngers. In the middle ranks there is the successor generation of the 40-year-olds who came out of the student revolts of the 1960s, who won the battle for intraparty power with radical slogans, and who today are straddling the line between reality and ideology as they run for regional office. Finally,

Johannes' Rau

there is the youngest generation, ensconced on the precinct and local level, where radicalization is still strong.

It is here where people like Mr. Rau are almost persona non grata, where anti-Americanism is rampant and where NATO is a prime candidate for the dung heap of history. In addition to

his middle-of-the-road "deviationism," Mr. Rau has committed the unpardonable sin of categorically excluding any alliance with the Greens—and everything they stand for, i.e., neither nuclear power nor, nuclear weapons, and a no-growth economy.

And then there is Mr. Rau's Great Dilemma. In the last national election in 1983, the SPD pulled only 38.2%, its worst showing since 1961. Current polls concede only 41% to the Social Democrats. Since the small Free Democratic party is committed to Mr. Kohl, and the Greens are an-

athema according to Mr. Rau, Mr. Brandt doesn't need a computer to tell him that victory will elude the SPD.

At this point Mr. Boelling's literary potshots become part of a more significant pattern of attack. While Mr. Rau's abilities were pooh-poohed in public, the party's chairman, Mr. Brandt, was heard to muse that a majority in the January election was but a dream; it would be a "nice success" if Mr. Rau pulled 43%. Why would Mr. Brandt (along with his secretary general) denigrate the chances of his own party? To do so makes sense only as a velvet-gloved warning to Mr. Rau: stop flirting with the center and go left, young man. You are bound for defeat unless you lift your anathema against the Greens. A more radical stance will sop up Green votes and still leave you the option of a quasi-alliance with the Greens when parliament elects a new chancellor.

Will "Brother John" (as the pious Mr. Rau is called) find happiness in the embrace of the Greens? Even if he forsook his principles, he would only warm the hearts of Mr. Brandt and his friends. Elections in West Germany have always been won in the center, and an SPD that would move even further left might not even gain on the fringes what it had sacrificed among the vast majority. It did not work during the height of the recession in 1983, and it will not work in 1987—after several years of sustained economic growth.

And if Mr. Rau does not heed the warnings of the left? In that case, the guns of August will hardly be retired in the months to come. But in either case, it is a safe bet that the next German chancellor will be Mr. Kohl.

Mr. Joffe is columnist and foreign editor of the Sueddeutsche Zeitung.